

| List of Equivalences |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p} \vee(\mathrm{q} \wedge \mathrm{r}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{q}) \wedge(\mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{r})$ | Distribution Laws |
| $p \wedge(q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow(p \wedge q) \vee(p \wedge r)$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\ & \neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow(\neg p \vee \neg q) \end{aligned}$ | De Morgan's Laws |
|  | Miscellaneous |
| $p \vee \neg p \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{T}$ | Or Tautology |
| $p \wedge \neg p \Leftrightarrow F$ | And Contradiction |
| $(\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{q}) \Leftrightarrow(\neg \mathrm{p} \vee \mathrm{q})$ | Implication Equivalence |
| $p \leftrightarrow q \Leftrightarrow(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow p)$ | Biconditional Equivalence |

Prove: $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee q \Leftrightarrow p \vee q$
$(p \wedge \neg q) \vee q \quad$ Left-Hand Statement
$\Leftrightarrow q \vee(p \wedge \neg q) \quad$ Commutative
$\Leftrightarrow(q \vee p) \wedge(q \vee \neg q)$ Distributive
$\Leftrightarrow(q \vee p) \wedge T \quad$ Or Tautology
$\Leftrightarrow q \vee p \quad$ Identity
$\Leftrightarrow p \vee q \quad$ Commutative
Begin with exactly the left-hand side statement
End with exactly what is on the right
Justify EVERY step with a logical equivalence

List of Logical Equivalences

| $p \wedge T \Leftrightarrow p ;$ | $p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T ;$ | $p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F$ |
| $p \vee p \Leftrightarrow p ;$ | Identity Laws |
| $\neg(\neg p) \Leftrightarrow p$ | Domination Laws |
| $p \vee p$ | Idempotent Laws |
| $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p ; p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$ | Double Negation Law |
| $(p \vee q) \vee r \Leftrightarrow p \vee(q \vee r) ;(p \wedge q) \wedge r \Leftrightarrow$ | Commutative Laws |
|  | Associative Laws |

Associative Laws

## The Proof Process



## Predicate Calculus: Quantifiers

Universe of Discourse, U: The domain of a variable in a propositional function.

Universal Quantification of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ is the proposition:" $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ is true for all values of x in U ."

Existential Quantification of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ is the proposition:
"There exists an element, $x$, in $U$ such that $P(x)$ is true."

## Universal Quantification of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$

$\forall x P(x)$
"for all x P(x)"
"for every x P(x)"
Defined as:
$P\left(x_{0}\right) \wedge P\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge P\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge P\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \ldots$ for all $x_{i}$ in $U$
Example:
Let P ( x ) denote $\mathrm{x}^{2} \geq \mathrm{x}$
If $U$ is $x$ such that $0<x<1$ then $\forall x P(x)$ is false.
If $U$ is $x$ such that $1<x$ then $\forall x P(x)$ is true.

## Existential Quantification of

 $P(x)$$\exists \mathrm{xP}(\mathrm{x})$
"there is an x such that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ "
"there is at least one x such that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ "
"there exists at least one x such that $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ "
Defined as:
$\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right) \vee \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \vee \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \vee \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{3}\right) \vee \ldots$ for all $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in U
Example:
Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ denote $\mathrm{x}^{2} \geq \mathrm{x}$
If $U$ is $x$ such that $0<x \leq 1$ then $\exists x P(x)$ is true. If $U$ is $x$ such that $x<1$ then $\exists x P(x)$ is true.

## Quantifiers

$\forall x P(x)$
-True when $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ is true for every x .
-False if there is an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is false.
$\exists x P(x)$
-True if there exists an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is true.
-False if $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ is false for every x .

Negation (it is not the case)
$\neg \exists \mathrm{xP}(\mathrm{x})$ equivalent to $\forall \mathrm{x} \neg \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$
-True when $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ is false for every x
$\cdot$ False if there is an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall \mathrm{xP}(\mathrm{x})$ is equivalent to $\exists \mathrm{x} \neg \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$
-True if there exists an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is false.
-False if $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$ is true for every x .

## Examples 2a

Let $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ denote the propositional function "a trusts b." Let U be the set of all people in the world.

Everybody trusts Bob.
$\forall x T(x, B o b)$
Could also say: $\forall \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{U} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Bob})$
$\in$ denotes membership
Bob trusts somebody.
$\exists x \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{Bob}, \mathrm{x})$

## Examples 2b

Alice trusts herself.
T(Alice, Alice)
Alice trusts nobody.
$\forall \mathrm{x} \neg \mathrm{T}$ (Alice, x )
Carol trusts everyone trusted by David.
$\forall x(T($ David,x) $\rightarrow$ T(Carol,x) $)$

Everyone trusts somebody.
$\forall x \exists y \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$

## Quantification of Two Variables (read left to right)

$\forall \mathrm{x} \forall \mathrm{yP}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ or $\forall \mathrm{y} \forall \mathrm{xP}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$
-True when $P(x, y)$ is true for every pair $x, y$.
$\bullet$ False if there is a pair $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ for which $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is false.
$\exists x \exists y P(x, y) \quad$ or $\exists y \exists x P(x, y)$
True if there is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x, y)$ is true.
False if $P(x, y)$ is false for every pair $x, y$

## Quantification of Two Variables

$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$
-True when for every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x, y)$ is true (in this case $y$ can depend on $x$ )
-False if there is an $x$ such that $P(x, y)$ is false for every $y$
$\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$
-True if there is a $y$ for which $P(x, y)$ is true for every $x$. (i.e., true for a particular $y$ regardless (or independent) of $x$ )
-False if for every $y$ there is an $x$ for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
Note that order matters here
In particular, if $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$ is true, then $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ is true. However, if $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ is true, it is not necessary that $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$ is true.

## Examples 3a

Let $L(x, y)$ be the statement " $x$ loves $y$ " where $U$ for both $x$ and $y$ is the set of all people in the world.

Everybody loves Jerry.
$\forall x L(x, J e r r y)$

Everybody loves somebody.
$\forall x \exists y L(x, y)$

There is somebody whom everybody loves.
$\exists y \forall x L(x, y)$

## Examples 3b1

There is somebody whom Lydia does not love.
$\exists \mathrm{x} \neg \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{Lydia}, \mathrm{x})$
Nobody loves everybody. (For each person there is at least one person they do not love.)
$\forall \mathrm{x} \exists \mathrm{y} \neg \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$
There is somebody (one or more) whom nobody loves $\exists \mathrm{y} \forall \mathrm{x} \neg \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$

